

A Farmer, Essay V

(BALTIMORE) MARYLAND GAZETTE

March 1788

The essays written by A [Maryland] Farmer were printed in the *Maryland Gazette* during February, March, and April of 1788. While no direct evidence of authorship has been found, it seems likely that A [Maryland] Farmer was John Francis Mercer, a non-signing member of the Constitutional Convention and an active Maryland Anti-Federalist. The attribution is inconclusive since it depends almost entirely on a similarity in argument between those of A Farmer and those known to have been made by Mercer. The chief source of Mercer's views is Madison's report of his speech in the Convention on 14 August. We have in addition a useful letter to Jefferson, written in 1804, and the "Address to the Members of the Conventions of New York and Virginia".

In the first essay he replies to a Federalist pamphlet by Aristides, the pseudonym of Alexander Contee Hanson, a Maryland legislator and judge. A Farmer contends that, whereas in a monarchy numbers are typically on the side of the individual, in a popular government the danger to the individual lies in the interests or heated passions of the majority and that, therefore, the freer or more democratic the government, the greater the need for clear expressions of individual rights. A Farmer concludes this essay with the question whether a national government is to be preferred for the United States to a league or a confederation.

In his second essay A Farmer takes up the subject of representation. The argument is that representative government is aristocracy, which is corrupt and tyrannical and which leads the desperate people to hand themselves over to a single man. Normally, then, representative government leads to tyranny supported by a standing army; but A Farmer holds out a possibility of tempering the aristocracy with a strong and independent executive.

In the third essay, A Farmer takes up the question of whether a national or a federal government is to be preferred. A Farmer sees little in the present corrupted manners of his fellow citizens to suggest that they are now capable of sustaining self-government, and provides a very interesting sketch of the three main classes in the United States, and their probable future.

In the fourth essay A Farmer criticizes the absence of provision for trial by jury in civil cases and discusses the value of the jury as the democratic part of the judiciary — the people's check on government and their school in public affairs.

In his fifth essay, A Farmer returns to his major theme of representation. He argues that we should proceed slowly and carefully. A representative system can only succeed if based on fixed and permanent orders, but the only such order in America is the yeomanry, which is powerless. The Constitution tries to erect a republic on the ruins of a corrupt monarchy. A government for the United States founded on representation requires at least an executive for life and a senate also for life appointed by the executive. The problem is to prevent the executive from becoming hereditary.

In his sixth essay A Farmer replies to Aristides on various minor points, chiefly the question of concurrent jurisdiction of federal and state courts.

In the seventh and final essay, extending over several numbers of the *Maryland Gazette*, A Farmer replies to some further criticisms of Aristides and summarizes his own argument. He traces the decline of government from its initial devotion to equal rights to its unavoidably unequal operation. Oppressed by the few, the people call on one man to rule them.

V

25 March 1788

I have been long since firmly persuaded, that there are no hidden sources of moral agency beyond the reach of investigation. — The all-wise and all-bountiful Author of Nature, could never have created *human reason* unequal to the happy regulation of *human conduct*. — The errors and misfortunes of mankind spring from obvious sources. Religious and political prejudices, formed by education, strengthened by habit, maintained by interest, and consecrated by fear, are forever arming the passions against the judgment. — The celebrated Blaise Pascal (the powers of whose understanding were rather miraculous than surprizing) closed his painful researches after religious truth, with this dogma, as pernicious as untrue, — "*That a religion purely spiritual, was never intended for mankind.*" There could be no judgment more unbiassed, for there was no mind so strong, no heart more pure; but bred in the bosom of the church, even her idolatry impressed him with veneration and awe. Notwithstanding his conclusion, the doctrines of Calvin maintain their ground in their primitive simplicity, divested of the aid of ceremony and form. The thunders of the Vatican, which for ages deluged Europe with blood, have dissipated their force, and reason has resumed her spiritual empire. Would to God, that the history of temporal despotism had terminated as favourably for the happiness of mankind! — In the political world, the chains of civil power, upheld by the numerous links of private interest, have proved more equal and permanent in their effects; they have, and I fear forever must, shackle the human understanding; and it is much to be questioned whether the full and free political opinion of any one great luminary of science, has been fairly disclosed to the world — Even when the great and amiable Montesquieu had hazarded a panegyric on the English constitution, he shrinks back with terror into this degrading apostrophe — "*Think not that I mean to undervalue other governments — I who think an excess of liberty[,] an excess of all things, even of reason itself, a misfortune, and that the happiness of mankind is only to be found in a medium between two extremes.*" — The author of the Persian letters, at that moment recollected the afflicting presure he had felt from the hand of Gallic government, and his pen trembled as he wrote.

Is it then possible that governments of simplicity and equal right, can have been fairly dealt by in theory or practice? The votaries of tyranny and usurpation, stand not alone — in bitter opposition; every man of enterprize, of superior talents and fortune, is interested to debase them; their banners have ever been deserted because they never can pay their troops. — The most amiable and sensible of mankind seem to have made a stand in favour of a mixed government founded on the permanent orders and objects of men. — Thither I suspect the American government is. now tending. If it must be so — Let it go gently then — with slow and equal steps. — Let each gradation and experiment have a full and fair trial — Let there be no effect without a good, apparent and well considered cause — Let us live all the days of our

lives, and as happily as circumstances will permit. — Finally, let moderation be our guide and the influence of manners will conduct us (I hope without injury) to some permanent, fixed establishment, where we may repose a while, unagitated by alteration or revolution — For in sudden and violent changes, how many of the most worthy of our fellow-citizens must get their bones crushed?

I cannot think that any *able and virtuous citizen*, would in his cool and dispassionate moments, wish to blend or risque the fundamental rights of men, with any organization of society that the Americans can or will make for fifty years to come. — Let us keep these rights of individuals — these unalienable blessings[—]reserved and separated from every constitution and form — If they are unmingled, the attentive eyes of every citizen will be kept fixed upon them. We shall watch them as a sacred deposit, and we may carry them uninjured and unimpaired through every vicissitude and change, from the government we have left, into some other that may be established on the fixed and solid principles of reason. — Nor can there be, I imagine, *any prudent man*, who would trust the whimsical inventions of the day, with that dangerous weapon a *standing army*, in our present unsettled circumstances — striving to substantiate inefficient and unnatural forms — it would wield us into despotism in a moment, and we have surely had throat-cutting enough in our day.

Throughout the world government by representation, seems only to have been established to disgrace itself and be abolished — its very principle is change, and it sets all system at defiance — it perishes by speedy corruption. — The few representatives can always corrupt themselves by legislative speculations, from the pockets of their numerous constituents — quick rotation, like a succession of terms tenants on a farm, only increases the evil by rendering them more rapacious. If the executive is changeable, he can never oppose large decided majorities of influential individuals — or enforce on those powerful men, who may render his next election [] the rigor of *equal law, which is the grand and only object of human society*. — If the executive is to be rendered ineligible for a certain period, he will either *not do his duty*, or he will retire into the unprotected situation of a private individual, with all the sworn animosities of a powerful majority — aristocracy — junto — the cry of the populace, or perhaps the whole combined to pursue him to the grave, or a public execution. The considerate and good, who adorn private life, and such only can be safely trusted in high public station, will never commit themselves to a situation where a conscientious discharge of duty may embitter the evening of life, if not draw down ruin and infamy on themselves and families. — There never was but one man who stepped from the top to the bottom, without breaking his neck, and that was Sylla; and although it is true that whilst he was up, he broke the hearts of the Romans, yet his dying undisturbed in private life, is one of those miracles that must remain forever unexplained. If the aristocracy, or representation of wealth, (the principle of wh[i]ch order is to keep all things as they are, for by confusion they may lose more than they can gain) is also changeable, there then is nothing fixed and permanent in government. — Legislative tyranny commences, and exhibits a perpetual scene of plunder and confusion, fearlessly practised under the sanction of authority and law. It is true that the influence of manners may and will resist for a time; yet that must give way to a general and prevalent corruption — Those who are respectable at home and have permanent [] in life, and such only can give stability to government, will not suffer themselves to be mounted up on the wheel of fortune, to be let down again as it turns, the mockery of children and fools. — Where representation has been admitted as a component part of government, it has always proved defective, if not destructive. What then must be the consequence where the whole government is founded on representation? Every American can now answer, it will be at best but — *representation of government* — with us the influence of manners has been great — it is indeed declining fast; but aided by the solidity of the judiciary establishments, and the

wisest code of civil laws, that ever mankind were blessed with, it has hitherto supported the forms of society: But the people are now weary of their representatives and their governments. — We may trace the progress. — One candidate, to recommend his pretensions, discloses and descants on the errors of the preceding administration — The people believe him and are deceived — they change men; but measures are still the same, or injured by the sudden and violent alteration of system — At least the next candidate asserts it is so — is again believed, and his constituents again deceived; a general disgust and sullen silence ensue; elections are deserted; government is first despised, and then cordially hated.

There can be no fixed and permanent government that does not rest *on the fixed and permanent orders and objects of mankind*. — Government on *paper* may amuse, but we pay dear for the amusement, the only fixed and permanent *order* with us at present are the YEOMANRY, and they have no power whatever, — unless the right of changing masters at a certain period, and devolving on their changeable representatives their whole political existence — may be called power — The order of GENTRY, with us, is not a fixed and permanent order at all, and if they attempt to erect themselves into one at present, it is usurpation, and they will be pulled down; and yet, in my opinion, such an order is essential to a perfect government, founded on representation. — Every other mode of introducing wealth into power, has proved vicious and abominable. — With us delegates become by selection, themselves a species of subaltern aristocracy — they intrigue with the senates, who by a refined mode of election are a misbegotten, side blow, representation of wealth, and they both form an imperfect aristocracy, on the worst principles on which that order can be admitted into government — and the democratic influence which is thus amalgomated and not divided, but unformed becomes vicious from its impotence.

These defects spring from our attempting to erect republican fabrics on the ruined and imperfect pillars of an old corrupt monarchy — not less absurd, than to expect the limbs to perform the functions of life, after cutting off the head. — The opposition which brought Charles the first to the block, was composed of some of the ablest and most virtuous characters that ever adorned any age or clime — Hampden, Pym, Selden, Sir Harry Vane, Sydney, Marvell and many others. — They pursued their old model — attempted to form a government by representation which was at first steadied and restrained by the best senate in the world, (the English House of Lords) — the two houses soon disagreed, and there being no third power to interpose, the representatives, voted the House of Lords useless — new modelled the government into a single branch, and then began to plunder most unmercifully — At last Cromwell kicked them all out of doors, and after his tyrannical usurpation and death, the nation were very happy to take shelter again under the regal government, and even restored an unworthy family (which they had irritated beyond forgiveness) to the throne.

A Farmer.

(To be continued.)

28 March 1788

(Continued from our last.)

After every consideration I can give this subject, I am satisfied, that *government founded on representation*, indispensibly requires, at least an executive for life, whose person must be sacred from impeachment, and only his ostensible ministers responsible — A senate for life, the vacancies to be filled up and the number occasionally encreased but under a limitation, by

the executive — the hand that holds the balance must have the power of adding weight and influence to the lightest scale, and of frequently removing turbulent men into an higher and inoffensive situation: — I am inclined to think that an important portion of American opinion leans that way at this moment — My fear is, that our general government may ultimate in an hereditary authority — if not despotism — to avoid the former, great attention should be paid to the important office of Vice-President — at present but little understood: — A Vice-President to succeed on a vacancy prevents those evils which have ruined Poland and all the northern kingdoms — thus we see the King of the Romans has secured Germany from every evil of elective monarchy, and had the golden bull prevented one of the family or kindred of the reigning Emperor from filling the office of the King of the Romans, this part of the Germanic constitution would have been perfect, and the house of Austria would never have been enabled to usurp the imperial crown as a patrimony and desolate Europe with her ambitious views; she would have continued in that beggarly condition from which Rodolph of Hapsburg raised it — The American constitution is much better guarded but not by any means completely so.

If this is the best we can hope for — if this is the best reward we can expect for the sons of America slain, and the distresses we shall long continue to feel — is it not incumbent on us to examine minutely all its consequences? — Let us view government by representation in its favorite form — The constitution of England — its uncommon success and length of duration there, has drawn on it very unmerited encomiums from the enlightened Genevan[,] Delolme — the only great political writer who does not seem to hold representation in contempt, — indeed the viewing it through this favorable medium has always animated our hopes, and led many sensible Americans to imagine, this old and universal experiment, to be peculiar to that isle — In pursuing my inquiry into the principles and effects of the British government, I shall first grant that it is a rational system, founded on solid, safe principles — and one of the best governments for the higher ranks of mankind in the world — but then I must insist that it was hardly a government at all, until it became simplified by the introduction and regular formation of the effective administration of responsible ministers, on its present system — which we cannot date higher up, than the appointment of Lord Strafford and others by Charles 1st.* — Moreover I do not know how far the system of bribery introduced by Sir Robert Walpole, and the influence of the numerous body of public creditors, are not now absolutely necessary to its present stability — and after all, I am not satisfied how such a simplification as would produce a responsibility, can be effected in a government, complicated by so many subordinate and powerful corporations as the American States will be — and yet responsibility must be attained and an easy and certain mode adopted, of changing measures and men without commotion, or liberty will be lost in the attempt — I am confused and bewildered when I arrive at this point of reflection, and despotism meets me at every turn. — There are but *two* modes of governing mankind, by just and equal law, enforced impartially on all ranks of society, or by the sword: — If such laws cannot be obtained, or the attainment is attended with too much difficulty, the sword will supply their place; *et inter arma leges silent. When arms command the laws are disobeyed.* Shall we have patience, with the disorders of our complicated machine? As Alexander dissolved the gordian knot with the sword — so I fear a standing army will simplify the governments of America — I have said that the government of England afforded firm protection of property — it certainly does so, comparatively speaking — yet the history of its frequent revolutions, will discover that even property is insecure there. During the civil wars, in which the Stuarts involved this nation, two-thirds of the property of the kingdom changed masters; and in those between Lancaster and York, and before the firm establishment of the line of Tudor, almost all the old families perished and their property became dissipated: — And yet its protection of property is its favorable side; turn your eyes to the lower order of citizens, and they are pressed into the

earth by taxation and imposition — very rarely will industry enable the husbandman to rear a family — where the sons of agriculture are so poorly rewarded, government must be ulcerated to the heart — the miserable poor who pursue the dictates of nature and religion, in that connection which is destined to sweeten the bitter draught of life, are commonly handed from constable to constable, until their unfortunate birth compels some parish to own them. — The people of England have always and forever will emigrate — The people of England never repair to arms to repel foreign invasion — and they never will unless compelled — to conquer England, it would only seem necessary from past example, to escape their floating defences and land on the island; passing by former invasions and conquests. As late as the year 1745, Prince Charles Edward, at the head of an undisciplined rabble, belonging to some Highland clans attached to his family, marched undisturbed, through the most populous counties into the heart of the kingdom, and the capital containing 200,000 fighting men trembled for its safety at the approach of an unexperienced boy, followed by 4 or 5000 half armed peasants — scarcely a man in the kingdom shouldered a musquet until the danger disappeared, and government owed its safety to the protection of foreign mercenaries, or rather the weakness and irresolution of the assailant — The fact is, the people will never fight (if they can help it) for representatives, taxes and rags.

Let us now contrast this scene with one, where the people *personally* exercise the powers of government — The three small democratic Cantons of Uri, Schuitz and Underwald, broke the chains of their former servitude, and laid the foundation of the Swiss confederacy — they effected the revolution, and in conjunction with the other democratic Cantons and their democratic allies the Orisons, have supported the grand fabric of Helvetic liberty to this day. Every Swiss farmer is by birth a legislator, and he becomes a voluntary soldier to defend his power and his property; their fathers have been so before them for near 500 years, without revolution, and almost without commotion — they have been the secure spectators of the constant and universal destruction of the human species, which the usurpations of the *few* have ever created, and must I fear forever perpetuate: — Whilst all Europe were butchering each other for the love of God, and defending the usurpations of the clergy, under the masque of religion, the malignant evil crept into this sacred asylum of liberty; (but where the government resides in the body of the people, they can never be corrupted by the artifice or the wealth of the *few*) they soon banished the daemon of discord, and Protestant and Papist sat down under the peaceful shade of the same tree, whilst in every surrounding State and kingdom, the son was dragging the father, and brothers, their brothers, to the scaffold, under the sanction of those distinctions: — Thus these happy Helvetians have in peace and security beheld all the rest of Europe become a common slaughterhouse. — A free Swiss acquires from his infancy, a knowledge of the fundamental laws of his country, and the leading principles of their national policy are handed down by tradition from father to son — the first of these is never to trust power to representatives, or a national government. A free Swiss pays no taxes, on the contrary he receives taxes; every male of 16 years, shares near ten shillings sterling annually, which the rich and powerful surrounding monarchies pay for the friendship of these manly farmers. Whenever their societies become too large, as government belongs to the citizens and the citizens are the property of no government, they divide amicably, and each separate part pursues the simple form, recommended by their ancestors and become venerable, by the glorious and happy experience of ages of prosperity — Their frugal establishments are chiefly supported by the pay which the officers of government receive for the services they render individuals. With a country the most unfriendly to industry in the world, they have become in a series of years, passed in uninterrupted but moderate labor, frugality, peace and happiness, the richest nation under the sun. I have seen a computation, by which it appeared, that the interest of the money they have before hand, and that which is due them from the rich nations of Europe, would support themselves and their

posterity forever, without farther exertion; and this whilst every other government is actually as much or more in debt than it is worth.

An intelligent author has remarked, that passing from a democratic to an aristocratic Canton of Switzerland, you quit the society of men to contemplate the regular labor of brutes; they are compelled indeed in the aristocratic Cantons to be extremely moderate in their government, and to lay few or no taxes, or they would drive their subjects into the neighboring free States — as it is, they are well clothed, well fed and taken good care of — The same author remarks, that the line which separates all Switzerland, from the countries around (where men like cattle are the property of their proud Lords and kept chained to the soil) is the line of division between light and darkness — between happiness and horror.

The love of the Switzers for their country is altogether romantic and surpasses the bounds of credibility — those memorable relations authenticated by the common consent of all historians, of their beating on all occasions the flower of the Austrian and French troops (who have invaded them) with numbers so unequal and trifling as scarce to exceed their enemies out-guards; the instances of hundreds of citizens devoting their lives for the safety of their country; of their frequently disdain life and refusing quarter when overpowered by numbers, have astonished and terrified the neighbouring powers, and seem incomprehensible to a people dispirited by taxes, overloaded with debts and disgusted with government. I cannot omit a striking characteristic, authenticated by Coxe and others, whose authority will not be questioned; they relate that there is a rustic tune familiar in the mountains of Switzerland — it is called the *Rantz des vacques* — it consists of a few simple notes of native wild melody. The French and Dutch governments have been compelled to forbid under very severe penalties, the playing this woodland music, to those Swiss troops, which they hire for a limited time; the well-known notes revive instantly all the fond images, which were impressed on their youthful bosoms, their friends, their parents, their relations and their beloved country, rush into their imaginations in a full tide of affection — no persuasion can detain them, they desert home in regiments, or if retained by force, they pine away in the deepest melancholy — no instance has yet occurred of Swiss troops serving in any part of Europe, who have not returned, with the diligence and anxiety of affectionate children, on the first appearance of danger to their parent country: — The same amor-patriæ, the same divine love of their country, universally pervades the bosom of every citizen, who in right of his birth, legislates for himself: — Grosley relates that he saw in Rome a poor fellow (who had travelled through great part of Europe and Asia afoot) declaiming to a crowd with the most passionate zeal, in praise of his own country, boasting of her happiness and preferring San Marino to all the world besides — This democratic republic, is a little bee-hive of free citizens, who have made a delicious garden Of the top of a bleak barren mountain, situated in the midst of the finest and most fruitful plains of Italy, which tyranny has depopulated around them. — Look into the human breast — We love that power, which we exercise ourselves, but we detest that which others exercise over us, be they Representatives, Lords, or Kings; and to this source we may trace the abuse, which the Americans bestow on their country and their governments.

But we are told that Switzerland, *should be no example for us* — I am very sorry for it — they are the only, the only part of the human species that sustain the dignity of character, belonging to the divine resemblance we bear, — *they are few in number it is said* — This is not true — they are more numerous than we are — *They cover a small spot of territory* — this is also not true — they possess a large tract of country in the very heart of Europe — but this is not all — The Helvetic confederacy, including the three leagues of the Orisons comprehends one hundred perhaps two hundred, independent governments and States — nor is there any reason from their history or present state to doubt, that the same plan of confederation might not be

extended with as lasting and happy effects to one thousand independent governments — But it is also said *they are a poor, frugal* people — As to their poverty that is likewise untrue — they have great sums of money before hand and owe not a six-pence — they indeed are a wise and consequently a frugal people — though they still have great estates and even luxury among them too — But should we despise their poverty or their frugality? We who are so many millions worse than nothing? *But still we are told we must not take example from them — we must take example from Holland and Germany* — They had better at once tell us, that we must desert the worship of God and follow that of the devil.

From the first dawn of light, that broke in upon my reason, I became devoted to governments of simplicity and equal right — The names of heroes, whose blood has bedewed the altars of freedom, vibrate like the shock of electricity, on my frame; and when I read the story of Brutus and of Cassius, the most noble and the last of the Romans, tears of admiration gush from my eyes. — Under these impressions which only the grave can erase, I feel unspeakable horror at every step, which removes power and rights, at a greater distance from the body of the people, to whom they belong, and confines them to the hands of *the few*. I have proposed to myself this question: If representatives cannot govern the people — If they abuse the power entrusted to them, shall they devolve this power on a still smaller number, who must be more liable to corruption from the encrease of temptation? Or should they restore it into the hands of the people, from whom they received it? who alone are incorruptible, because the wealth of the few can never bribe the many, against the duty they owe to themselves. If I am told that the people are incapable of governing themselves — I shall answer that they have never been tried in America, except among the native Indians, who are free and happy, and who prove that self-government is the growth of our soil — And I also answer that they are more fit for self-government, than they are at present for any of the safe and solid governments, founded on representation. — When I see all these principles established by the example of the Swiss, who have remained under the simplest of all forms of government for near five hundred years, in uninterrupted tranquility and happiness — whilst every other invention of genius, devise of art, or imposition offeree, has been torn up by the roots, with every aggravated circumstance of horror — I can no longer doubt — All the mists of theory and speculation vanish before an experiment like this.

The greatest human discernment, ever concentrated in the mind of one man, was the portion of the celebrated Nicholas Machiavelli — a name loaded with abuse by tyrants, flatterers and the mushrooms of science, because he told the truth; because he was a republican and the friend of mankind in times of usurpation; or because, they have never read or do not understand his works. After every inquiry which the most unbounded information and reflection, with a long experience in high public office afforded, Machiavelli, delivers his deliberate opinion in favour of the body of the people, as the only safe depository of liberty and power — He prefers it to the aristocracy and the Prince; but he does not disgrace the inquiry by mentioning representation. If this was the opinion of Machiavelli, a citizen of Florence, where a numerous populace confined and crowded within the walls of a city, formed the most turbulent republic, that ever disgraced the cause of freedom by cruelty and anarchy — How much more favourably must his decision apply to the yeomanry of America — Landholders and consequently the most independent of mankind, mild by nature, moderate by manners, and persevering in every honest pursuit: — Surely if ever men were worthy of being entrusted with their own rights, the freeholders of America are — *Make them then and their posterity legislators by birth* — I mean not the lowest populace — I mean that class of citizens to whom this country belongs: — Numbers unqualified by property, should have their influence — they should be protected — they might preserve the right of election — But they who hold the property of the soil, are alone entitled to govern it: — To effect this there would

need but little change in the present forms — They might all stand — But the laws which pass the legislature before they become binding, should be referred to the different counties and cities — printed reasons drawn by committees, might if necessary, accompany each, together with an annual estimate of public wants and a detail of the expenditures of the former sums granted. Let these laws then be submitted to the free deliberation of the *freeholders* of the counties and cities — the numbers of the yeas and nays be taken on each by the presiding magistrate, and transmitted to the executive, who may then upon comparing the returns from the several counties and corporations, declare what laws are the will of the people. On the appearance of any sudden danger the two houses or indeed a majority of one house, might invest the Executive with that authority, exigency might require for the safety of the republic, until remedy should be provided by law.

The number of representatives might be decreased and an expence saved — this would at one blow destroy all legislative speculations — the influence of demagogues, or oligarchic juntos must then cease — The assemblage of the freeholders, separate in different counties would prevent disturbance — As no new law could be made in them, little confusion could ensue — After some years, or even immediately if confined to future cases — the celebrated law of Geneva might be introduced, and no freeholder admitted to the assembly until he had paid his father's debts. Sumptuary laws, permitting the use, but prohibiting the abuse of wealth, might be interposed to guard the public manners. — The Governor and two members of the senate might constitute a council of censors, to punish offenders against the sumptuary laws and the laws of morality, by a removal from office, and even disfranchisement, if necessary, with an appeal to the people of the county where the offender resided, in the latter case, and to the people of the State in the former. — Seminaries of useful learning, with professorships of political and domestic (economy might be established in every county, discarding the philosophy of the moon and skies, we might descend to teach our citizens what is useful in this world — the principles of free government, illustrated by the history of mankind — the sciences of morality, agriculture, commerce, the management of farms and household affairs — The light would then penetrate, where mental darkness now reigns. — Do these things and in a very few years, the people instead of abusing, would wade up to their knees in blood, to defend their governments.

For some years past this has been the darling object of my life — to which all my views have tended — And I now think that nothing intermediate would be lasting or worthy the pursuit — Whenever I fairly lose sight of this — As soon as I turn my back forever on these dear illusions, which will be as soon as the proposed foederal government is adopted — I shall turn all my wishes to that social state, whither that government will lead us, and I both hope and expect that with those amendments and guards, which it seems to be the general disposition to provide — it will gradually maturate in a safe and reasonable government. — Until that adoption I speak to my fellow citizens in the words of the proverb — *Do not that by others, which you can do yourselves.*

A Farmer.

* Before that period they were minions and favorites, who by plundering and oppressing the people excited constant commotion, and were seldom changed but with their masters, and by the axe or halter.
